



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,676	11/12/2003	Ofir Zohar	ASSIA 20.741	8317
26304	7590	04/28/2008	EXAMINER	
KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP 575 MADISON AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10022-2585				MCFADDEN, MICHAEL B
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2188				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
04/28/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b><i>Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/706,676	ZOHAR ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Michael B. McFadden	2188	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Michael B. McFadden. (3) Brian E. Hennessey.  
 (2) Hyung Sough. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 23 April 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,4,9,13 and 19-26.

Identification of prior art discussed: Sharma et al..

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner explained his position on the 103 rejection regarding Sharma and the duplication of parts. The Applicant explained his position on the interpretation of the 103 rejection. The Applicant further discussed the Official Notice rejections and whether they were adequately traversed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Michael McFadden/  
 /Hyung Sough/

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.